LaGrange Highlands SD 106 La Grange Highlands, ILLINOIS 60525

Amy Warke Email - awarke@district106.net (708) 246-3085 http://www.district106.net



EBF District Funding Tier - 4
Financial capacity to meet expectations - 108.3 %
State Senate District - 41
State House District - 082

State and federal laws require public school districts to release report cards to the public each year.

The Illinois Report Card is an annual report released by the Illinois State Board of Education that shows how the state, and each school and district, are progressing on a wide range of educational goals. The Report Card offers a complete picture of student and school performance in order to inform and empower families and communities as they support their local schools. To view an interactive display of Report Card data, visit www.IllinoisReportCard.com.

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act requires states to provide every school a summative designation. All states were given a waiver of this requirement for school year 2019-2020 due to the impact of COVID-19. The waiver requires that states reissue schools their designations from the previous year. Illinois has four summative designations: Exemplary, Commendable, Targeted Support, and Comprehensive Support. Schools that receive a designation of Targeted Support for particular student groups or Comprehensive Support for the school receive additional funding and resources to build local capacity and improve student outcomes. Multiple measures of school performance and environment determine a school's designation. For more information about Illinois' accountability system please visit www.isbe.net/summative.

For additional information, refer to the <u>2020 Glossary of Terms</u>.

STUDENTS

STUDENT	ENROLLMEN	Т										
	All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American	Two or More Races	Children with Disabilities	English	Low Income	Homeless
District		1				*	*					
District	921	729	5	124	37	Î	Î	26	127	35	40	1
		79.2%	0.5%	13.5%	4.0%	*	*	2.8%	13.8%	3.8%	4.3%	0.1%
State	1,957,018	929,443	324,212	519,982	102,732	2,035	4,936	73,678	348,751	245,502	949,618	38,890
		47.5%	16.6%	26.6%	5.2%	0.1%	0.3%	3.8%	17.8%	12.5%	48.5%	2.0%

Student Enrollment is based on Serving School.

Children with Disabilities are those students eligible to receive special education services through an IEP or support through a 504 plan. English Learners are students eligible for transitional bilingual programs.

Low Income are students who receive or live in households that receive SNAP or TANF; are classified as homeless, migrant, runaway, Head Start, or foster children; or live in a household where the household income meets the USDA income guidelines to receive free or reduced-price meals.

Homeless students are those who do not have permanent and adequate homes.

CHRONIC	ABSENTEEISI	M RATE										
							American	Two or More	Children with	Students with	English	Low
	All	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income
District	2.1%	1.6%	*	4.8%	3.3%	*	*	4.3%	3.7%	2.8%	3.3%	14.3%
State	11.0%	7.8%	18.7%	12.5%	5.7%	10.0%	15.6%	13.0%	16.3%	16.9%	11.3%	16.4%

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. While it was collected in accordance with ISBE data policy and validated through normal procedures, the state environment and policy changes resulting from the suspension of in-person instruction may have possibly affected the results. Thus, please use caution when interpreting results and trends.

STUDENT	MOBILITY RA	TE												
								Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	Children with	Students with	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income
District	1.9%	1.5%	2.3%	1.7%	*	0.9%	5.9%	*	*	3.7%	4.1%	4.5%	2.8%	11.8%
State	6.2%	6.5%	5.8%	4.1%	11.8%	5.9%	6.1%	8.2%	8.6%	7.6%	6.2%	6.8%	7.4%	9.0%

Students with IEPs are those eligible to receive special education services.

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. While it was collected in accordance with ISBE data policy and validated through normal procedures, the state environment and policy changes resulting from the suspension of in-person instruction may have possibly affected the results. Thus, please use caution when interpreting results and trends.

EARLY LEARNING

KINDERG	NDERGARTEN INDIVIDUAL DEVELOPMENTAL SURVEY (KIDS) RESULTS													
	% of Entering		% of Entering Kindergartners Demonstrating Readiness by Developmental Area											
	Kindergartners Rated on Required 14 Measures	In 0 Developmental Areas	In 1 Developmental Area	In 2 Developmental Areas	In All 3 Developmental Areas	Social and Emotional Development	Language and Literacy Development	Math						
District	71.7%	47.0%	4.5%	19.7%	28.8%	53.0%	45.5%	31.8%						
State	88.7%	36.9%	17.0%	17.5%	28.6%	56.0%	47.1%	34.6%						

The Kindergarten Individual Development Survey (KIDS) is an observational tool designed to help teachers, administrators, families and policymakers better understand the developmental readiness of children entering kindergarten.

KINDERG	ARTEN INI	DIVIDUAL	DEVELOPI	VENTAL S	URVEY (KI	DS) RESUI	_TS (Demo	graphics)						
		% of Entering Kindergartners Demonstrating Readiness in all 3 Developmental Areas Native Free/ Non Free/												
		Native												
											Students	Reduced	Reduced	
		/Pacific Ame						English	English	with	with	Price	Price	
	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Learners	Learners	IEPs	Non-IEPs	Lunch	Lunch	
District	33.3%	*	16.7%	0.0%	*	*	0.0%	*	28.8%	11.1%	31.6%	0.0%	30.6%	
State	34.9%	23.4%	17.3%	35.3%	36.6%	14.5%	30.9%	13.7%	31.6%	14.3%	30.2%	19.7%	36.3%	

The Kindergarten Individual Development Survey (KIDS) is an observational tool designed to help teachers, administrators, families and policymakers better understand the developmental readiness of children entering kindergarten.

GIFTED STUDENTS

"Gifted Education" is defined as educational services, including differentiated curricula and instructional methods, designed to meet the needs of gifted children as defined in Article 14A of this code.

"Advanced Academic Program" is defined as a course of study to which students are assigned based on advanced cognitive ability or advanced academic achievement compared to local age peers and in which the curriculum is substantially differentiated from the general curriculum to provide appropriate challenge and pace. Note that gifted students are a subset of accelerated placement /advanced academic program students and are included in these metrics also.

STUDENT GIFTEDN	'S ASSESSED FO	DR
	# Students	% Students
District	140	14.6%
State	165,182	7.6%

STUDENT	S ASSESSED	FOR GIFTE	EDNESS (D)emograph	nics)								
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students With IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District	140	13.6%	15.8%	14.7%	*	11.4%		*	*	*	*	*	*
State	165,182	7.5%	7.7%	8.5%	4.7%	5.5%	18.4%	8.1%	7.4%	9.1%	5.9%	6.3%	4.7%

	STUDENTS ASSESSED FOR GIFTEDNESS TAUGHT BY GIFTED-ENDORSED TEACHERS										
	# Students	% Students									
District	*	*									
State	19,414	0.9%									

STUDENT	S ASSESSED	For Gifti	EDNESS T	AUGHT BY	GIFTED-E	INDORSED	TEACHE	RS (Demog	graphics)				
Native Hawaiian Two or Students /Pacific American More With English Low													
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	IEPs	Learners	Income
										110000	121 0	Louinoro	
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*

06-016-1060-02-1003

STUDENT	S IDENTIFIED AS GIFTED	
	# Students	% Students
District	140	14.6%
State	50,813	2.3%

STUDENT	S IDENTIFIED	AS GIFTEI	D (Demogr	aphics)									
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students With IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District	140	13.6%	15.8%	14.7%	*	11.4%	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	50,813	2.3%	2.4%	2.5%	1.1%	1.4%	9.9%	3.4%	1.3%	2.7%	0.6%	0.5%	1.0%

STUDENTS IDENTIFIED AS GIFTED TAUGHT BY GIFTED-ENDORSED TEACHERS				
# Students		% Students		
District	*	*		
State	9,454	0.4%		

STUDENT	S IDENTIFIED	AS GIFTEI	D TAUGHT	BY GIFTE	D-ENDOR	SED TEAC	HERS (De	mographic	s)				
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races	Students With IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
State	9,454	0.4%	0.4%	0.5%	0.1%	0.2%	1.9%	0.1%	0.3%	0.5%	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%

INSTRUCTIONAL SETTING

TOTAL SCHOOL DAYS				
Number of Days				
District	182			
State	175			

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. While it was collected in accordance with ISBE data policy and validated through normal procedures, the state environment and policy changes resulting from the suspension of in-person instruction may have possibly affected the results. Thus, please use caution when interpreting results and trends.

% of 8TH GRADERS PASSING ALGEBRA I				
District	96.9%			
State	30.8%			

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. HOWEVER, based on the data collected and comparisons to historical data, the data collected for this collection cycle does not, in aggregate, exhibit greater variation than is normally observed from year to year.

STUDENT	-TO-STAFF RAT	OS		
	Pupil- Teacher Elementary	Pupil- Teacher Secondary	Pupil- Certified Staff	Pupil- Administrator
District	16.0	*	8.0	131.6
State	18.1	18.6	10.1	171.1

HEALTH AND WELLNESS (days per week)			
District	5.0		
State	3.6		

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. HOWEVER, based on the data collected and comparisons to historical data, the data collected for this collection cycle does not, in aggregate, exhibit greater variation than is normally observed from year to year.

AVERAGE	AVERAGE CLASS SIZE (as of the first school day in May)										
Grades	K	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9 - 12	Overall
District	23.3	20.0	24.9	24.4	21.4	24.4	18.3	20.4	18.5	*	21.4
State	19.1	20.8	21.2	21.9	22.0	22.6	23.1	23.0	22.5	21.6	21.7

TEACHER	INFORMATIO	N (Full-Tim	e Equivaler	nts)							
	Total							Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	
	Number	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Unknown
District	75	13.5%	86.5%	90.2%	*	*	*	*	*	*	9.8%
State	131,230	23.2%	76.8%	82.3%	5.9%	7.0%	1.7%	0.1%	0.2%	0.8%	2.0%

TEACHER INFORMATION (Experience)						
	Average Teaching Experience (Years)	% of Teachers with Bachelor's Degrees	% of Teachers with Masters's & Above			
District State	14.6 13.4	28.5% 39.6%	71.5% 59.8%			

Please note that asterisks in the tables indicate either non-reported data or suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

TEACHER RETENTION RATE			PRINCIPA	L TURNOVER (Count)
District	88.1%		District	2.0
State	85.9%		State	2.0

TEACHER ATTENDANCE RATE

District	94.4%
State	86.6%


Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. While it was collected in accordance with ISBE data policy and validated through normal procedures, the state environment and policy changes resulting from the suspension of in-person instruction may have possibly affected the results. Thus, please use caution when interpreting results and trends.


TEACHER EVALUATION RATE				
District	97.7%			
State	98.7%			

Users of this report should note that this data may have possibly been affected by the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year. While it was collected in accordance with ISBE data policy and validated through normal procedures, the state environment and policy changes resulting from the suspension of in-person instruction may have possibly affected the results. Thus, please use caution when interpreting results and trends.

SCHOOL DISTRICT FINANCES

AVERAGE TEACHER/ADMINISTRATOR SALARIES





REVENUE BY SOURCE 2018-1	9			EXPENDITURE BY FUND 201	8-19		
	District	District %	State %		District	District %	State %
Local Property Taxes	\$12,735,358	89.6%	60.3%	Education	\$10,944,321	80.2%	70.4%
-				Operations & Maintenance	\$1,394,699	10.2%	7.3%
Other Local Funding	\$691,811	4.9%	6.1%	Transportation	\$155,920	1.1%	3.9%
_				Debt Service	\$625,288	4.6%	8.4%
Evidence-Based Funding	\$570,873	4.0%	21.7%	Tort	\$219,719	1.6%	1.2%
				Municipal Retirement/			
Other State Funding	\$84,009	0.6%	4.9%	Social Security	\$310,125	2.3%	1.9%
				Fire Prevention & Safety	\$0	0.0%	0.6%
Federal Funding	\$133,644	0.9%	7.0%	Capital Projects	\$0	0.0%	6.3%
TOTAL	\$14,215,695			TOTAL	\$13,650,072		

OTHER FINANCIAL INDICATORS

OTTLETT				
	2017 Equalized Assessed Valuation per Pupil	2017 Total School Tax Rate per \$100	2018-19 Instructional Expenditure per Pupil	2018-19 Operating Expenditure per Pupil
District	\$490,113	2.99	\$9,042	\$13,337
State	**	**	\$8,582	\$14,492

** Due to the way Illinois school districts are configured, state averages for equalized assessed valuation per pupil and total school tax rate per \$100 are not provided.

Equalized assessed valuation includes all computed property values upon which a district's local tax rate is calculated.

Total school tax rate is a district's total tax rate as it appears on local property tax bills.

Instructional expenditure per pupil includes the direct costs of teaching pupils or the interaction between teachers and pupils.

Operating expenditure per pupil includes the gross operating cost of a school district excluding summer school, adult education, bond principal retired, and capital expenditures.

SCHOOL-LEVEL FINANCES

The Every Student Succeeds Act requires LEAs to report school-level expenditure data, beginning with school year 2018-19. LEAs are required to report actual personnel and non-personnel expenditures of both site-specific and district centralized expenditures. These data are self-reported and may differ from Annual Financial Report data. For more information, visit www.isbe.net/site-based.

For Site-Based Expenditure Reporting, enrollment numbers display the average of the enrolled student count on October 1 and March 1 of the reporting year, including all children aged 3 through 21 in grades prekindergarten through 12 served by the LEA or placed in another educational institution by the LEA. Note that students attending only part of each day are counted as proportional students in the count, which may lead to decimal places in the enrollment number.

			-Level Per-I Expenditure	•		District Centralized Per-Pupil T Expenditures			r-Pupil Expe	enditures		
District Sites	Enrollment	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Federal	State/Local	Subtotal	Exclusions	Total Expenditures
Highlands Elem School	521.18	\$19	\$5,696	\$5,715	\$453	\$8,557	\$9,010	\$472	\$14,254	\$14,725		
Highlands Middle School	382.65	\$14	\$7,393	\$7,407	\$453	\$8,557	\$9,010	\$467	\$15,950	\$16,417		
District	903.83	\$17	\$6,414	\$6,431	\$453	\$8,557	\$9,010	\$470	\$14,972	\$15,442	\$741,918	\$14,698,537

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT FUNDS

Title I School Improvement - 1003(a) Grant funds are federal Title I funds allocated to districts to support school improvement efforts in schools designated as targeted or comprehensive. Funds must be spent on activities that supplement core programs. Comprehensive schools receive comprehensive support and are required to utilize an approved Learning Partner. Targeted schools receive targeted support and may utilize an approved Learning Partner. Any school utilizing Title I School Improvement - 1003(a) funds must complete an application and School Improvement Report based on a local Board of Education approved School Improvement Plan and submit the Illinois Quality Framework Supporting Rubric. Additional information on the requirements of this grant can be found here: https://www.isbe.net/Pages/IL-EMPOWER.aspx

SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT FUNDS				
Schools Who Receive Title I School Improvement - 1003(a) Funds	School Year First Identified As Needing Support	Level of Support	Title I School Improvement - 1003(a) Funds Received for Previous School Year	Reason for Receiving Title I School Improvement - 1003(a) Funds

ACADEMIC PERFORMANCE - ASSESSMENTS

The federal Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA) requires states to assess their learning standards for English language arts (ELA), mathematics, and science. Each state may also have a general assessment for the majority of its students, and an alternate assessment for the one percent of students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. ESSA also requires that English Learners be assessed in four domains (reading, writing, speaking and listening) each year until they reach proficiency. Results are presented both by subject (all tests combined) and then by individual test.

								Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	Children with	Students with	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income
District														
State														

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American	Two or More Races	Children with Disabilities	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income
District														
State														

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

SCIENCE	PARTICIPATIC	IN - ALL I	ESIS (Den	nographics	5)			NC.						
								Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	Children with	Students with	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income
District														
State														

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

NUMBER AND PERCENTAGE OF ENGLISH LEARNERS EXEMPTED FROM ASSESSMENT

No English Learners are exempted from assessment, including those who are newly arrived in the U.S. Previously, states could exempt newly arrived English Learners from ELA testing only. Under ESSA, states have flexibility in how they test and use scores from newly arrived English Learners. Per the approved Illinois ESSA State Plan, "Illinois will assess newly arrived ELs, enrolled in their first year in U.S. schools, in grades 3-12 in academic content areas: English language arts, mathematics, and science. Data from the first-year assessments will not be included in accountability determinations, but serve solely for baseline purposes."

IAR ELA F	PARTICIPATIO	N (Demogi	aphics)											
								Native						
								Hawaiian		Two or	Children	Students		
								/Pacific	American	More	with	with	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income
District														
State														

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

IAR MATH	H PARTICIPATI	ON (Demo	graphics)											
								Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More	Children with	Students with	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income
District														
State														

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

	All	M - 1 -						Native Hawaiian		Two or	Children	Students		
	۵	M - 1 -								Two or	Children	Students		
	۵۱	Mala												
	Δ 11	Mala						/Pacific	American	More	with	with	English	Low
		Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income
istrict														
itate														
itate														

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

								Native Hawaiian		Two or	Children	Students		
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian		American	More Races	with Disabilities	with	English Learners	Low Incom
District														
State														

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

DLM-AA E	ELA PARTICIPA	ATION (Dei	mographic	s)										
								Native						
								Hawaiian		Two or	Children	Students		
								/Pacific	American	More	with	with	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income
District														
State														
				i i										

DLM-AA N	MATH PARTICI	PATION (D)emograph	ics)										
								Native Hawaiian		Two or	Children	Students		
									American	More	with	with	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander		Races	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income
District														
State														

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

DLM-AA S	SCIENCE PART	ICIPATIO	N (Demogr	aphics)										
								Native Hawaiian		Two or	Children	Students		
								/Pacific	American	More	with	with	English	Low
	All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income
District														
State														

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

CIPATION (De	mographi	cs)											
							Native						
									Two or				
													Low
All	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income
	All		IPATION (Demographics) All Male Female					Native Hawaiian /Pacific	Native Hawaiian /Pacific American	Native Hawaiian Two or /Pacific American More	Native Hawaiian Two or Children /Pacific American More with	Native Hawaiian Two or Children Students /Pacific American More with with	Native Hawaiian Two or Children Students /Pacific American More with with English

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

	All							Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More
	Students	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian		Indian	Races
District										
State										

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

ELA PRO	FICIENCY - AL	L TESTS (Der	nographics	Continued	d)			
	Children with Disabilities	Students with IEPs	English Learners	Low Income	Homeless	Migrant	Youth In Care	Military
District								
State								

MATH PROFICIENCY - ALL TESTS (Demographics) Native Hawaiian Two or All /Pacific American More Students Male Female White Black Hispanic Asian Islander Indian Races District State

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

	Children with	Students with	English	Low			Youth In	
	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income	Homeless	Migrant	Care	Military
District								
State								

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

	All							Native Hawaiian /Pacific	American	Two or More
	Students	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Islander	Indian	Races
District										
State										

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

	Children with	Students with	English	Low			Youth In	
	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income	Homeless	Migrant	Care	Military
District								
State								

EL PROFI	CIENCY ON AC	CESS					
	#	#	%	#	%	# Long Term	% Long Term
	ELS	Tested	Participation	Proficient	Proficient	EL	EL
District	34	32	94.1%	7	21.9%	*	*
State	223,399	220,920	98.9%	17,503	7.9%	20,127	9.0%

MEAN ELA GROWTH PERCENTILE - IAR (Demographics) Native Hawaiian Two or All /Pacific American More Students Male Female White Black Hispanic Asian Islander Indian Races District State

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

	Children with	Students with	English	Low			Youth In	
	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income	Homeless	Migrant	Care	Military
District								
State								

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

	All Students	Male	Female	White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian /Pacific Islander	American Indian	Two or More Races
District										
State										

Due to the suspension of in-person instruction during the 2019-20 school year, the data for this metric is unavailable for use in the School Year 2020 Report Card.

	Children with	Students with	English	Low			Youth In	
	Disabilities	IEPs	Learners	Income	Homeless	Migrant	Care	Military
District								
State								

2019 Mathematics State Snapshot Report Illinois Grade 4 Public Schools

Results for Student Groups in 2019


	Percentage of	Avg.		age at or e NAEP	Percentage at NAEP
Reporting Groups	students	score	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Race/Ethnicity					
White	46	246	86	51	11
Black	17	217	57	14	1
Hispanic	27	231	74	28	4
Asian	4	259	88	65	25
American Indian/Alaska Native	#	‡	‡	+	‡
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	#	‡	‡	‡	‡
Two or more races	4	238	76	40	12
Gender					
Male	50	239	78	41	10
Female	50	236	77	36	6
National School Lunch Program					
Eligible	52	226	67	24	3
Not eligible	48	249	88	54	14
* D 1 1					

Rounds to zero.

‡ Reporting standards not met.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.

NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results



* Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in 2019. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.

NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

2019 Mathematics State Snapshot Report Illinois Grade 8 Public Schools

Results for Student Groups in 2019


	Percentage of	Avg.	Percent abov	age at or e NAEP	Percentage at NAEP
Reporting Groups	students	score	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Race/Ethnicity					
White	48	291	78	42	12
Black	18	262	49	14	2
Hispanic	25	273	62	24	5
Asian	6	320	92	73	36
American Indian/Alaska Native	#	‡	‡	+	‡
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	#	‡	‡	+	‡
Two or more races	3	286	71	38	17
Gender					
Male	51	283	69	35	12
Female	49	282	70	32	9
National School Lunch Program					
Eligible	48	269	56	20	4
Not eligible	52	295	82	46	15
II Design de terrerer					

Rounds to zero.

‡ Reporting standards not met.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.

NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results



* Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in 2019. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.

NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

National Center for Education Statistics

2019 Mathematics Grades 4 and 8 Assessment Report Cards: Summary Data Tables for National and State Sample Sizes, Participation Rates, Proportions of SD and ELL Students Identified, and Types of Accommodations

Table A-11. Inclusion rate and standard error (SE) in NAEP mathematics for fourth- and eighth-grade public school students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL), as a percentage of identified SD or ELL students, by state/jurisdiction: 2019

	Percentage of identified SD or ELL students						
	Grade 4			Grade 8			
	SD		ELL	SD		ELL	
State/jurisdiction	Inclusion rate	SE	Inclusion rate SE	Inclusion rate	SE	Inclusion rate	SE
Illinois	95 ¹	1.2	98 ¹ 0.7	94 ¹	1.1	95 ¹	2.0

+ Not applicable. Standard error estimate cannot be accurately determined.

‡ Reporting standards not met. Sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.

¹ The state/jurisdiction's inclusion rate is higher than or not significantly different from the National Assessment Governing Board goal of 85 percent.

² Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).

NOTE: Beginning with the 2017 assessment, NAEP mathematics results are from a digitally based assessment; prior to 2017, results were from a paper-andpencil based assessment. SD includes students identified as having an Individualized Education Program but excludes other students protected under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. In Puerto Rico, the English language learner (ELL) category is for the Spanish language learner (SLL).

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Mathematics Assessment.

2019 Reading State Snapshot Report Illinois Grade 4 Public Schools

Results for Student Groups in 2019


	Percentage of	Avg.		age at or e NAEP	Percentage at NAEP
Reporting Groups	students	score	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Race/Ethnicity					
White	46	228	75	45	12
Black	18	200	46	17	2
Hispanic	27	208	55	23	4
Asian	4	238	82	57	19
American Indian/Alaska Native	#	‡	\$	‡	‡
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	#	‡	\$	‡	‡
Two or more races	4	229	74	43	12
Gender					
Male	50	215	61	32	8
Female	50	221	68	36	9
National School Lunch Program					
Eligible	52	205	51	21	3
Not eligible	47	233	79	50	14
10 I.					

Rounds to zero.

‡ Reporting standards not met.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.

NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results



NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

2019 Reading State Snapshot Report Illinois Grade 8 Public Schools

Results for Student Groups in 2019


	Percentage of	Avg.		age at or e NAEP	Percentage at NAEP
Reporting Groups	students	score	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Race/Ethnicity					
White	48	274	82	45	6
Black	18	246	56	15	1
Hispanic	25	255	66	25	2
Asian	6	290	90	66	15
American Indian/Alaska Native	#	‡	\$	\$	\$
Native Hawaiian/Pacific Islander	#	‡	\$	‡	\$
Two or more races	3	263	72	32	5
Gender					
Male	51	260	69	32	4
Female	49	269	78	40	6
National School Lunch Program					
Eligible	50	251	62	21	1
Not eligible	50	278	85	50	8
# Dounds to zoro					

Rounds to zero.

‡ Reporting standards not met.

NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding, and because the "Information not available" category for the National School Lunch Program, which provides free/reduced-price lunches, is not displayed. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin.

NAEP Achievement-Level Percentages and Average Score Results



* Significantly different (p < .05) from state's results in 2019. Significance tests were performed using unrounded numbers.

NOTE: NAEP achievement levels are to be used on a trial basis and should be interpreted and used with caution. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.

National Center for Education Statistics

2019 Reading Grades 4 and 8 Assessment Report Cards: Summary Data Tables for National and State Sample Sizes, Participation Rates, Proportions of SD and ELL Students Identified, and Types of Accommodations

Table A-11. Inclusion rate and standard error (SE) in NAEP reading for fourth- and eighth-grade public school students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL), as a percentage of identified SD or ELL students, by state/jurisdiction: 2019

		Percentage of identified SD or ELL students						
	Grade 4			Grade 8				
	SD		ELL		SD		ELL	
State/jurisdiction	Inclusion rate	SE	Inclusion rate	SE	Inclusion rate	SE	Inclusion rate	SE
Illinois	91 ¹	2.1	96 ¹	1.0	95 ¹	1.4	94 ¹	1.6

† Not applicable. Standard error estimate cannot be accurately determined.

‡ Reporting standards not met. Sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.

¹ The state/jurisdiction's inclusion rate is higher than or not significantly different from the National Assessment Governing Board goal of 85 percent.


² Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).

NOTE: Beginning with the 2017 assessment, NAEP reading results are from a digitally based assessment; prior to 2017, results were from a paper-and-pencil based assessment. SD includes students identified as having an Individualized Education Program but excludes other students protected under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Reading Assessment.

2019 IL Gr 4 Math - Students with Disabilities

Percentage below Basic, percentage at Basic, percentage at Proficient and percentage at Advanced for grade 4 mathematics, by Disability status of student, including those with 504 plan [IEP] for jurisdiction: 2019 2019, Illinois




IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Mathematics Assessment.

2019 IL Gr 8 Math - Students with Disabilities

Percentage below Basic, percentage at Basic, percentage at Proficient and percentage at Advanced for grade 8 mathematics, by Disability status of student, including those with 504 plan [IEP] for jurisdiction: 2019 2019, Illinois



Rounds to zero.


IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Mathematics Assessment.

2019 IL Gr 4 Reading - Students with Disabilities

Percentage below Basic, percentage at Basic, percentage at Proficient and percentage at Advanced for grade 4 reading, by Disability status of student, including those with 504 plan [IEP] for jurisdiction: 2019 2019, Illinois




IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Reading Assessment.

2019 IL Gr 8 Reading - Students with Disabilities

Percentage below Basic, percentage at Basic, percentage at Proficient and percentage at Advanced for grade 8 reading, by Disability status of student, including those with 504 plan [IEP] for jurisdiction: 2019 2019, Illinois



Rounds to zero.


IEP NOTE: The category "students with disabilities" includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program (IEP) or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Reading Assessment.

2019 IL Gr 4 Math - English Learners

Percentage below Basic, percentage at Basic, percentage at Proficient and percentage at Advanced for grade 4 mathematics, by Status as English Language Learner, 2 categories [LEP] for jurisdiction: 2019 2019, Illinois




NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Mathematics Assessment.

2019 IL Gr 8 Math - English Learners

Percentage below Basic, percentage at Basic, percentage at Proficient and percentage at Advanced for grade 8 mathematics, by Status as English Language Learner, 2 categories [LEP] for jurisdiction: 2019 2019, Illinois




Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Mathematics Assessment.

2019 IL Gr 4 Reading - English Learners

Percentage below Basic, percentage at Basic, percentage at Proficient and percentage at Advanced for grade 4 reading, by Status as English Language Learner, 2 categories [LEP] for jurisdiction: 2019 2019, Illinois



NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Reading Assessment.

2019 IL Gr 8 Reading - English Learners

Percentage below Basic, percentage at Basic, percentage at Proficient and percentage at Advanced for grade 8 reading, by Status as English Language Learner, 2 categories [LEP] for jurisdiction: 2019 2019, Illinois



Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Some apparent differences between estimates may not be statistically significant.

SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2019 Reading Assessment.

The National Assessment of Educational Progress is a nationally representative and continuing assessment have been conducted periodically in reading, mathematics, science writing, U.S. history, civics, geography, and the arts.

NAEP does not provide scores for individual students or schools; instead, it offers results regarding subject-matter achievement, instructional experiences, and school environment for populations of students and sub-groups of those populations. NAEP results are based on a sample of student populations of interest.

Additional information regarding NAEP results can be found here:

- Mathematics
 - o 2019 Grade 4 https://www.isbe.net/Documents/naep-2019-math-snap-4.pdf
 - o 2019 Grade 8 https://www.isbe.net/Documents/naep-2019-math-snap-8.pdf
 - o 2019 State Report Grades 4 and 8 https://www.isbe.net/Documents/naep-2019-math-state-4-8.pdf
 - o 2019 NAEP Inclusion Rates https://www.nationsreportcard.gov/mathematics/supportive_files/2019_technical_appendix_math.pdf
- Reading
 - o 2019 Grade 4 https://www.isbe.net/Documents/naep-2019-read-snap-4.pdf
 - o 2019 Grade 8 https://www.isbe.net/Documents/naep-2019-read-snap-8.pdf
 - o 2019 State Report Grades 4 and 8 https://www.isbe.net/Documents/naep-2019-read-state-4-8.pdf
 - o 2019 NAEP Inclusion Rates <u>https://www.nationsreportcard.gov/reading/supportive_files/2019_technical_appendix_reading.pdf</u>

CIVIL RIGHTS DATA COLLECTION - 2017-18 SCHOOL YEAR

Data from the Civil Rights Data Collection comes directly from the U.S. Department of Education (ED). ED collects the data directly from ISBE and school districts and releases the information on a two to three-year delay.

ISBE also collects some of these data elements from districts and reports the results in the year it was collected. Current year data for in-school suspensions, out-of-school suspensions, and expulsions is reported by ISBE in a separate report on <u>www.isbe.net</u>. Current year data for chronic absenteeism can be found in the Students section of the Report Card. Current year data for accelerated coursework can be found in the Academic Performance section of the Report Card. Please note data may not match due to differences in data collection methods.

CRDC - IN-SCHOOL SUSPENSIONS			CRDC - OUT-OF-SCHOOL SUSPENSIONS		CRDC - EX	PULSIONS
District	0.9%	District	0.0%	D	District	0.0%
State	5.1%	State	3.5%	s	State	0.1%

CRDC - SCHOOL-RELATED ARRESTS				
District	0.0%			
State	0.1%			

CRDC - REF LAW ENFO			CRDC - CHRONIC ABSENTEEISM	
District	0.0%	District		4.5%
State	0.7%	State		16.3%

CRDC - INCIDENTS OF VIOLENCE			
	Rate of Incidents of Violence		
District	0.2%		
State	2.2%		

CRDC - INCIDENTS OF VIOLENCE - FIREARM AND HOMICIDE

	Firearm	Homicide			
Schools in the	0	0			
District with					
Incidents of Violence					
Schools in the	153	5			
State with					
Incidents of Violence					

Please note that at the school level, these metrics are displayed as a yes or no indicator. However, at the district and state level, these metrics are displayed as a count.

CRDC - NUMBER AND PERCENT ENROLLED IN PRESCHOOL			
District	40		
	4.4%		
State	78,272		
	3.9%		

CRDC	- ADVANCED	PLACEMENT COURSE	WORK				
		ADVANCED PL	ACEMENT (AP)	INTERNATIONAL BA	CCALAUREATE (IB)		
		COURSE	WORK	COURSE	WORK	DUAL CREDIT C	OURSE WORK
		Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All							
	District	0	0.0%	0	0.0%	0	0.0%
	State	143,753	7.2%	5,004	0.3%	65,736	3.3%

RACE/ETHNICITY AND DISABILITY CATEGORY FOR STUDENTS WITH IEPS

Percent of Students with IEPs by Race / Ethnicity

		White	Black	Hispanic	Asian	Native Hawaiian	Native American	Two or More Races
District	All Students	79.2%	0.5%	13.5%	4.0%			2.8%
District	Students with IEPs	84.0%	3.0%	8.0%	4.0%			1.0%
All Peer	All Students	49.5%	13.2%	26.1%	6.7%	0.1%	0.2%	4.2%
Districts *	Students with IEPs	48.4%	15.4%	27.5%	4.1%	0.1%	0.2%	4.3%
State	All Students	47.5%	16.6%	26.6%	5.2%	0.1%	0.3%	3.8%
Siale	Students with IEPs	46.5%	19.7%	26.8%	2.7%	0.1%	0.3%	3.9%

Percent of Students with IEPs in Each Disability Category

	Perce	nt of All Stu	Idents	Percent	of Students	with IEPs
Disability Category	District	All Peer Districts*	State	District	All Peer Districts*	State
Autism	1.4%	1.4%	1.4%	13.0%	9.3%	9.6%
Deafness		0.0%	0.0%		0.2%	0.2%
Deaf-Blindness		0.0%	0.0%		0.0%	0.0%
Developmental Delay	1.8%	3.2%	2.0%	17.0%	21.0%	13.4%
Emotional Disability	0.1%	0.8%	0.9%	1.0%	4.9%	6.2%
Hearing Impairment	0.2%	0.2%	0.1%	2.0%	1.0%	0.9%
Intellectual Disability	0.3%	0.4%	0.8%	3.0%	2.7%	5.1%
Multiple Disabilities		0.1%	0.2%		0.9%	1.0%
Orthopedic Impairment		0.0%	0.0%		0.3%	0.3%
Other Health Impairment	1.6%	1.7%	2.0%	15.0%	11.2%	13.2%
Specific Learning Disability	2.0%	3.9%	5.1%	18.0%	25.1%	33.6%
Speech or Language Impairment	3.0%	3.5%	2.4%	28.0%	22.8%	16.0%
Traumatic Brain Injury		0.0%	0.0%		0.1%	0.2%
Visual Impairment	0.3%	0.1%	0.1%	3.0%	0.4%	0.3%

*Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary School, High School, or Unit District ** Peer districts for Unit Districts do not include Chicago Public Schools *** Students who are receiving special education and/or related services via an Individualized Service Plan (ISP) have been removed from all calculations

EDUCATIONAL ENVIRONMENTS FOR STUDENTS WITH IEPS

Educational environment refers to the extent to which students with IEPs receive special education and related services in classes or schools with their non-disabled peers. Research has shown that students with IEPs who received their special education and/or related services in the general education environment displayed increased motivation, higher self-esteem, improved communication and socialization skills, and greater academic achievement as compared to students who received their special education and/or related services in a more restrictive, or segregated, environment.

The educational environments in which students with IEPs ages 6-21 receive their special education and/or related services are generally classified into four settings:

- 1. Served inside the general education classroom 80% or more of the day
- 2. Served inside the general education classroom 40% to 79% of the day
- 3. Served inside the general education classroom less than 40% of the day
- 4. Served in separate educational facilities

The following information is provided for students ages 6 through 21.

Percent of Students with IEPs in Various Educational Environments							
		Inside <u>></u> 80%	Inside 40-79%	Inside <40%	Separate Facility		
	District	81.5%	12.3%	2.5%	3.7%		
All Students with an IEP	All Peer Districts*	60.0%	20.3%	13.7%	6.1%		
	State	53.5%	26.7%	13.1%	6.8%		

Educational	Environments by	Race / Ethnicity			
		Inside <u>></u> 80%	Inside 40-79%	Inside <40%	Separate Facility
	District	80.6%	13.4%	3.0%	3.0%
	All Peer Districts*	63.4%	20.8%	10.7%	5.1%
White	State	56.9%	25.2%	11.1%	6.8%
	District	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%
	All Peer Districts*	47.7%	22.9%	18.2%	11.2%
Black	State	44.8%	30.2%	16.3%	8.7%
		00.0%	40.70/	0.0%	0.0%
Hispanic	District	83.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%
nopuno	All Peer Districts*	61.5%	18.5%	15.4%	4.7%
	State	54.2%	27.4%	13.3%	5.1%
	District	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Asian	All Peer Districts*	60.6%	16.2%	18.3%	4.9%
	State	54.2%	18.9%	19.9%	7.1%
	District				
Native Hawaiian	All Peer Districts*	57.6%	20.3%	15.3%	6.8%
	State	52.0%	22.9%	15.2%	9.9%
	District				
Native American	District All Peer Districts*	60.3%	19.0%	13.2%	7.4%
	State	52.0%	25.8%	14.7%	7.4%
	Jiale	52.070	20.070	17.770	1.470
	District	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Two or More Races	All Peer Districts*	58.7%	20.3%	14.2%	6.9%
	State	53.7%	24.1%	14.1%	8.2%

*Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary School, High School, or Unit District ** Peer districts for Unit Districts do not inlcude Chicago Public Schools

		Inside <u>></u> 80%	Inside 40-79%	Inside <40%	Separate Facility
	District	63.6%	9.1%	18.2%	9.1%
Autism	All Peer Districts*	33.4%	17.8%	33.7%	15.1%
	State	30.0%	22.2%	31.4%	16.4%
	District	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Emotional Disability	All Peer Districts*	39.8%	13.1%	13.8%	33.4%
	State	34.6%	19.6%	14.4%	31.3%
	District	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
Intellectual Disability	All Peer Districts*	4.4%	23.6%	56.0%	16.1%
	State	3.8%	29.5%	50.6%	16.2%
	District	80.0%	13.3%	0.0%	6.7%
Other Health Impairment	All Peer Districts*	62.6%	22.8%	9.9%	4.7%
	State	57.7%	27.8%	9.2%	5.3%
Specific Learning Disability	District	88.2%	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%
	All Peer Districts*	58.6%	32.5%	8.1%	0.9%
	State	55.7%	37.1%	6.0%	1.1%
Speech or Language		100.00/	0.00%		
mpairment	District	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	All Peer Districts* State	96.9% 97.4%	2.1% 1.9%	0.8%	0.1% 0.1%

Early Childhood (EC) Educational Environments (ages 3-5)

Educational environments for children ages 3 through 5 can be generally classified into one of the following settings:

- A. Children attending a regular early childhood program and receives the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program.
- B. Children attending a regular early childhood program and receives the majority of special education and related services in some other location.
- C. Children receiving special education or related services full-time in a separate class/facility.
- D. Children receiving special education or related services full-time in the child's home.
- E. Children receiving special education or related services from a service provider, and who do not attend an early childhood or special education program.

Percent of Students with IEPs in Various Educational Environments								
	Regular Early Ch	nildhood Program	Program Separate		Service			
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Class/ Facility	Home	Provider			
District	47.4	0.0	5.3	0.0	47.4			
All Peer Districts*	44.3	22.4	26.7	0.2	6.4			
State	45.9	24.8	23.3	0.2	5.7			

	Regular Early Cl	nildhood Program	Separate		
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider
White					
District	47.1%	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%	47.1%
All Peer Districts*	40.9%	26.7%	23.7%	0.2%	8.4%
State	41.7%	30.6%	20.1%	0.2%	7.4%
Black					
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
All Peer Districts*	41.3%	22.9%	33.3%	0.1%	2.5%
State	47.4%	21.4%	28.9%	0.1%	2.2%
Hispanic					
District	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	50.0%
All Peer Districts*	51.1%	15.5%	28.5%	0.2%	4.8%
State	54.1%	15.8%	25.7%	0.1%	4.2%
Asian					
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
All Peer Districts*	46.6%	15.7%	32.2%	0.3%	5.3%
State	46.5%	15.2%	32.1%	0.2%	5.9%
Native Hawaiian					
District					
All Peer Districts*	46.7%	20.0%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%
State	43.8%	15.6%	40.6%	0.0%	0.0%
Native American					
District	40.00/	04.00/	04.50/		4.604
All Peer Districts*	40.0%	21.8%	34.5%	1.8%	1.8%
State	41.7%	23.3%	31.7%	0.8%	2.5%
Two or More Races	0.00/	0.00/	0.00/	0.00/	0.00/
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
All Peer Districts*	45.6%	23.0%	26.6%	0.1%	4.6%
State	44.6%	28.0%	22.8%	0.2%	4.4%

*Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary, High School , or Unit ** Peer districts for Unit Districts do not include Chicago Public Schools

Educational Env	ironments for Stud	ents with IEPs for S	Selected Disa	bilities	
	Regular Early Cl	nildhood Program	Separate		
	Majority of Services Inside EC Program	Majority of Services Outside EC Program	Class/ Facility	Home	Service Provider
Autism					
District	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
All Peer Districts*	25.3%	16.1%	57.6%	0.0%	1.0%
State	30.5%	13.6%	55.3%	0.0%	0.5%
Developmental Delay					
District	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
All Peer Districts*	47.2%	14.7%	36.3%	0.1%	1.7%
State	50.9%	14.9%	33.0%	0.0%	1.0%
Emotional Disability					
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
All Peer Districts*	39.3%	10.7%	46.4%	0.0%	3.6%
State	39.6%	18.9%	39.6%	0.0%	1.9%
Intellectual Disability					
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
All Peer Districts*	25.9%	14.8%	59.3%	0.0%	0.0%
State	22.9%	24.3%	52.9%	0.0%	0.0%
Other Health Impairment					
District	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
All Peer Districts*	37.5%	14.1%	45.3%	2.1%	1.0%
State	45.1%	14.0%	38.0%	2.1%	0.7%
Specific Learning Disability					
District	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
All Peer Districts*	69.4%	8.3%	19.4%	0.0%	0.0%
State	62.7%	13.4%	19.4%	0.0%	4.5%
Speech or Language Impairment					
District	10.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	90.0%
All Peer Districts*	45.5%	35.0%	4.6%	0.2%	14.6%
State	43.9%	40.1%	2.9%	0.2%	12.9%

*Peer districts are districts of the same type as this district: Elementary, High School , or Unit ** Peer districts for Unit Districts do not include Chicago Public Schools

STATE PERFORMANCE PLAN INDICATORS FOR STUDENTS WITH IEPS

The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act (IDEA 2004) requires states to develop and submit a State Performance Plan (SPP) to the Office of Special Education Programs (OSEP) at the U.S. Department of Education. The SPP is designed to evaluate the State's efforts to implement the requirements and purposes of IDEA and describe how the State will improve its implementation. The plan consists of several priority areas with specific indicators defined for each area. Measurable and rigorous targets are defined for each indicator to show progress throughout the life of the SPP. States are required to publicly report on SPP Indicators 1-14. A link to the Illinois State Performance Plan, Part B can be found at: https://www.isbe.net/Pages/State-Performance-Plan-Data-and-Accountability.aspx

The table below shows how this school district performed on specific indicators and whether or not it met the annual state targets for those indicators as defined in the Illinois State Performance Plan. Some indicators require a minimum number of students before comparing district data to the state targets. "N/A" indicates that either the district did not have enough students to report on the district's performance for that particular indicator or the district does not serve students of the ages measured by the indicator.

The State Test Participation Rate is calculated by dividing the number of participants by the Tested Enrollment. At least 95% of students should be tested in ELA and mathematics with at least 10 students. If the state test participation rate is less than 95%, a 95% confidence interval is applied and the student group can meet the 95% target through the confidence interval.

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2019 - 2020 District Data	2019 - 2020 State Target	District Met State Target
1	Graduation Rate for students with IEPs (Data lag one year)		74.2	N/A
2	Dropout Rate for students with IEPs (Data lag one year)		4.5	N/A
3a	Made adequate yearly progress (AYP) for students with IEPs	N/A	N/A	N/A
3b	Reading assessment participation rate for students with IEPs		95.1	N/A
3b	Math assessment participation rate for students with IEPs		95.1	N/A
3c	Students with IEPs meeting or exceeding standards on state reading assessments		23.3	N/A
3c	Students with IEPs meeting or exceeding standards on state math assessments		23.6	N/A
4a	Significant discrepancy in the rate of suspensions and expulsions of greater than 10 days for children with IEPs (Data lag one year)	No	No	Yes
4b	Significant discrepancy by race or ethnicity, in the rate of suspensions and expulsions greater than 10 days of children with IEPs and have policies, procedures and practices that contributed to the significant discrepancy (Data lag one year)	No	No	Yes
5a	Students with IEPs ages 6-21 served inside the general classroom \geq 80% of the time	81.5	58.0	Yes
5b	Students with IEPs ages 6-21 served inside of the general classroom < 40% of the time	2.5	15.5	Yes
5c	Students with IEPs ages 6-21 served in separate educational facilities	3.7	3.9	Yes

Please note that asterisks in the tables indicate either non-reported data or suppressed data due to privacy concerns. Student counts reported are counts out of groups 10 or greater.

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2018 - 2019 District Data	2018 - 2019 State Target	District Met State Target
6a	Children ages 3-5 in regular early childhood program and receiving the majority of special education and related services in the regular early childhood program	47.4	32.9	Yes
6 b	Children ages 3-5 in separate special education class, separate school or residential facility	5.3	30.5	Yes
7a	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program below age expectations who substantially increased their rate of growth with improved functioning in positive social-emotional skills	100.0	86.3	Yes
7a	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program who were functioning within age expectations with positive social-emotional skills by the time they exited the program	55.6	55.6	No
7b	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program below age expectations who substantially increased their rate of growth with improved acquisition and use of knowledge and skills by the time they exited the program	100.0	87.0	Yes
7b	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program who were functioning within age expectations with acquisition and use of knowledge and skills by the time they exited the program	33.3	53.9	No
7c	Children who entered or exited an Early Childhood Special Education program that substantially increased their rate of growth in the use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs by the time they exited the program	100.0	88.1	Yes
7c	Children in an Early Childhood Special Education program that were functioning within age expectations regarding the use of appropriate behavior to meet their needs by the time they exited the program	20.6	61.0	No
8	Parents with a child receiving special education services who report that schools facilitated parent involvement as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities	20.6	61.0	No
9	Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in special education and related services that was the result of inappropriate identification	No	No	Yes
10	Disproportionate representation of racial and ethnic groups in specific disability categories that was a result of inappropriate identification	No	No	Yes

SPP Indicator	Indicator Description	2018 - 2019 District Data	2018 - 2019 State Target	District Met State Target
11	Children with parental consent to evaluate who were evaluated and eligibility determined within 60 days	100.0	100.0	Yes
12	Children referred by early intervention prior to age three who were found eligible for special education services and have an IEP developed and implemented by their third birthdays	100.0	100.0	Yes
13	Youth age 16 and above with an IEP that includes coordinated, measurable annual IEP goals and transition services that will reasonably enable the student to meet the post-secondary goals		100.0	N/A
14a	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education within one year of leaving high school		35.1	N/A
14b	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education or competitively employed within one year of leaving high school		57.0	N/A
14c	Youth who had IEPs, are no longer in secondary school and are enrolled in higher education or some other post secondary education or training program; or competitively employed or in some other employment within one year of leaving high school		75.0	N/A

SPP Indicators 1 - 8 and 14 are Results Indicators

SPP Indicators 9 - 13 are Compliance Indicators